



# Meridian Trust

## Internal Appeals Procedure (Reviews of Results and Appeals)

### Internal Appeals Procedure (Reviews of Results and Appeals)

|                               |                                    |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Centre name (the academy)     | Weldon Village Academy             |
| Centre number                 |                                    |
| Date procedure first created  | 28/10/2025                         |
| Current procedure approved by | Matt Norris                        |
| Current procedure reviewed by | Philip Middleton<br>Hallam Cutmore |
| Date of review                | 28/10/2025                         |
| Date of next review           | 31/12/2026                         |

### Key staff involved in the procedure

| Role                        | Name                          |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Head of centre              | Matt Norris                   |
| Senior leader(s)            | Hallam Cutmore<br>Esther Gray |
| Exams officer               | Philip Middleton              |
| Other staff (if applicable) |                               |

This procedure is reviewed and updated annually to ensure that appeals against any decision at The Academy not to support an application for a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation, or an appeal are managed in accordance with current requirements and regulations.

Reference in this procedure to GR refers to the JCQ document **General Regulations for Approved Centres**.

### Introduction

Following the issue of results, awarding bodies make post-results services available.

The JCQ post-results services currently available are detailed below.

### **Access to Scripts (ATS):**

Centres may request copies of scripts to support:

- reviews of marking; and/or
- teaching and learning

Requests must be submitted online via the awarding bodies' extranet sites.

Information on deadlines for Access to Scripts is found on awarding bodies' websites.

### **Reviews of Results (RoRs):**

- Service 1 (Clerical re-check): This is the only service that can be requested for objective tests (multiple choice tests)
- Service 2 (Review of marking): This service is available for externally assessed components of both unitised and linear GCE AS, A-level and GCSE specifications. It is also available for Level 1, 2 and 3 Vocational and Technical qualifications.
- Priority Service 2 (Review of marking): This service is available for externally assessed components of both unitised and linear GCE A-level specifications. It is also available for Level 3 Vocational and Technical qualifications. For NCFE this service only applies to T-levels.
- Service 3 (Review of moderation): A review of moderation cannot be undertaken upon the work of an individual candidate or the work of candidates not in the original sample

Requests must be submitted online via the awarding bodies extranet sites

### **Appeals**

- The appeals process is available after receiving the outcome of a review of results

### **Purpose of the procedure**

The purpose of these procedures is to confirm how the Academy deals with candidates' requests for access to scripts, clerical re-checks, reviews of marking, reviews of moderation and appeals to the awarding bodies in compliance with JCQ regulations (GR 5.13).

Details of these procedures are made widely available and accessible to all candidates by:

Signposts on the school website

### **The arrangements for post-results services**

- Candidates must be made aware of the arrangements for post-results services prior to the issue of results (GR 5.13)
- A review of moderation cannot be undertaken upon the work of an individual candidate or the work of candidates not in the original sample (PRS 4.3)
- The appeals process is available after receiving the outcome of a review of results (PRS 5.1)

At The Academy:

- Candidates are made aware of the arrangements for post-results services prior to the issue of results
- Candidates are also informed of the periods during which senior members of centre staff will be available immediately after the publication of results so that results may be discussed, and decisions made on the submission of reviews of marking

Candidates are made aware/informed by

There is an assembly for the Y11 students prior to exam leave to inform them of the arrangements for exam results day e.g. date and times that school is open and SLT will be available for support and questions. They are advised what they need to

do to give consent for someone else to collect results on their behalf. It is also explained that results will be emailed to all students later in the day on results day. If they will be abroad on results day, they are advised to send an email to the Exams inbox so that a request can be put into the IT dept, to make their inbox available abroad on results day.

During the assembly, the post-results services are also explained in detail.

This same information about results day arrangements and post-results services is communicated to parents and the students via email so that they have it for reference during the summer holidays.

Full details of the post-results services, internal deadline(s) for requesting a service and the fees charged (where applicable) are provided by The Exams Department provide a reminder of the post-results services available, and the associated consent forms when the results are distributed. Details of the process to consent for each service and how to pay the fee are also included. Hard copy forms are available in school and electronic copies are emailed to parents and students.

## Dealing with requests

All post-results service requests from internal candidates must be made through the centre (GR 5.13)

At the academy, the process to request a service is:

By completing a Post-results services: request, consent and payment form. This is available from the exams officer and also included in the results envelope

## Candidate consent

Candidates must provide their **written consent** for clerical re-checks, reviews of marking, and any subsequent appeal, and access to scripts services offered by the awarding bodies **after** the publication of examination results (GR 5.13)

(As applicable, it will be ensured that any private candidates are made aware that all post-results service requests can be made directly through the relevant awarding body)

The Academy will:

- Acquire written candidate consent (accepting informed consent via candidate email) in all cases before a request for a clerical re-check, a review of marking, and any subsequent appeal, or an access to scripts service request is submitted to the awarding body
- Acquire informed candidate consent to confirm the candidate understands that the final subject grade and/or mark awarded following a clerical re-check or a review of marking, and any subsequent appeal, may be lower than, higher than, or the same as the result which was originally awarded
- Only collect candidate consent **after** the publication of results
- Retain consent forms or e-mails from candidates for at least six months following the outcome of a clerical re-check or review of marking or any subsequent appeal (PRS 4.2)
- Retain consent/permission forms or e-mails from candidates to request and use their scripts for at least six months (PRS Appendix B)

## Submitting requests

The Academy will:

- Submit requests electronically for clerical re-checks, reviews of marking, reviews of moderation and access to scripts by the published deadline(s) in accordance with the JCQ document **Post-results services** (GR 5.13)
- Submit requests for appeals in accordance with the JCQ document **A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes** (GR 5.13)
- Confirm the awarding body's acknowledgement of receipt of a review of results request prior to the deadline for submission of post-results services and regularly check the progress of the request online (PRS 4.5)

## Dealing with outcomes

The Academy will:

- Ensure outcomes of clerical re-checks, reviews of marking, reviews of moderation and appeals are made known to candidates as soon as possible (GR 5.13)

Candidates will be notified by being emailed a copy of the outcome notification from the awarding body.

### Centre actions in the event of a disagreement (dispute)

Where a candidate disagrees with a centre decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking or a review of moderation, The Academy will:

- For a review of marking (Review of Results priority service 2), advise the candidate a review may be requested by providing informed written consent (and the required fee) for this service to the centre by the deadline set by the centre
- For a review of marking (Review of Results service 1 or 2), first advise the candidate to access a copy of their script to support a review of marking by providing written permission (and any required administration fee) for the centre to access the script from the awarding body
- After accessing the script to consider the marking, inform the candidate that if a request for a review of marking (Review of Results service 1 or 2) is required, this must be submitted by the deadline set by the centre by providing informed written consent (and the required fee) for the centre to request the service from the awarding body
- Inform the candidate that a review of moderation (Review of Results service 3) cannot be requested for the work of an individual candidate or the work of a candidate not in the original sample

Additional centre-specific actions:

If the candidate (or their parent/carer) believes there are grounds to appeal against the centre's decision not to support a review of results, an internal appeal can be submitted to the centre by completing an internal appeals form at least 5 school days prior to the internal deadline for submitting a request for a review of results. The appellant will be informed of the outcome of the appeal before the internal deadline for requesting review of results.

### Appeals

Following a Review of Results outcome, an external appeals process is available if the head of centre remains dissatisfied with the outcome and believes there are grounds for appeal.

The JCQ publications **Post-Results Services** and **JCQ Appeals Booklet** (A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes) will be consulted to determine the acceptable grounds for a preliminary appeal.

Where the head of centre is satisfied after receiving the Review of Results outcome, but the candidate (or parent/carer) believes there are grounds for a preliminary appeal to the awarding body, an internal appeal may be made directly to the centre. Candidates or parents/carers are not permitted to make direct representations to an awarding body. Following this, the head of centre's decision as to whether to proceed with a preliminary appeal will be based upon the acceptable grounds as detailed in the **JCQ Appeals Booklet**. To submit an internal appeal:

- An internal appeals form should be completed and submitted to the centre within the time specified by the centre from the notification of the outcome of the review of the result
- Subject to the head of centre's decision, the preliminary appeal will be processed and submitted to the awarding body within the required 30 calendar days of the awarding body issuing the outcome of the review of results process
- Awarding body fees which may be charged for the preliminary appeal must be paid to the centre by the appellant before the preliminary appeal is submitted to the awarding body (fees are available from the exams officer)
- If the appeal is upheld by the awarding body, this fee will be refunded by the awarding body and repaid to the appellant by the centre

Additional centre-specific information:

